

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,212 12/27/2004		Katsuhisa Mitsuhashi	04853.0121 7322	
22852 7	7590 11/01/2005	EXAMINER		
•	HENDERSON, FAR	LILLING, HERBERT J		
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413	1651		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	/519,212	MITSUHASHI ET	ITSUHASHI ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		HE	RBERT J. LILLING	1651				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply bly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE (i of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)□ 1 3)□ 5	Since this application is in condition	2b)⊠ This action for allowance e	on is non-final. except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the				
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims		·					
5)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the aa) Of the above claim(s) is/acclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restrict	ire withdrawn fr						
Applicatio	n Papers							
10) T 7	he specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific process.	: a) ☐ accepted action to the drawing the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeyance. See required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		. 4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 on No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	[·] O-152)			

Art Unit: 1651

Receipt is acknowledged of the foreign priority papers filed May 17,
 and the prior art information disclosure statements filed December 27, 2004 and
 February 17, 2005.

- 2. Claims 1-23 are pending in this application which is a 371 of PCT/JP03/08217 filed June 27, 2003 which claims benefit to Japan 2002-1906 16 filed June 28, 2002.
 - 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-12, drawn to a method of producing a lactone comprising culturing Candida sorbophila.

Group II, claim 23, drawn to a Candida sorbophila strain BP-8388.

Claim 22 is a non-statutory claim but will be examined as exactly claimed in Group I.

Group III; claim 13 whereby the product is drawn to a recovered precursor.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I or III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212

Art Unit: 1651

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be employed in a materially different process of using that product.

Inventions III is drawn to a distinct product than that of Invention I. which requires In addition, Invention I does not require the specifics of Invention II.

Invention I does not require the specifics of Invention III.

In addition, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different components obtained or used, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search required for one invention is not required for the other invention, thusly the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper which above distinctions would be extremely burdensome to search and examine all of the inventions as well as the species as noted in the following paragraph.

Further, in view of the following that the claimed subject matter has been considered not so linked to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 as recited for documents:

Document 1: WO, 3/01072, A @RITZSCHE DODGE & OLCOW mC.), 31 March 1983.

Document 2: EP, 371568, A1 BVER NV), 06 June, 1990.

Document 3: JP, 61-195693, A Xanebo Ltd.), 29 August 1986.

Document 4: D, 60-66991, A (Kanebo Ltd.), 17 April, 1985.

Document 5: EP, 356291, A1 (PBRNOD-RICARD), 28 Feb, 1990.

The inventions described in claims 1-23 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on above documents 1-5 cited in the ISR whereby

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212

Art Unit: 1651

Documents 1-4 describe a method for the manufacture of lactones by culturing microorganisms belonging to a Candida class in a culture using castor oil as a substrate and recovering the produced lactones. Furthermore, document 1 describes a method for the manufacture of optically active lactones. Moreover, as described in document 5, manufacturing optically active lactones by using 1.

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A. Whereby the method is drawn to producing a lactone from the medium comprising culturing Candida sorbophila from a substrate consisting of:
 - A1. Hydroxy fatty acid;
 - A2. hydroxy fatty acid derivative;
 - A3. hydrolysate of a fatty acid derivative.

Election of one of the above substrates for claims 1 or 2.

- B. Whereby the method is drawn to producing a lactone from the medium comprising culturing Candida sorbophila from a substrate consisting of hydroxy fatty acid, hydroxy fatty acid derivative or hydrolysate of a fatty acid derivative and recovering the lactone:
 - Bx. directly from the medium.
- By. lactonizing a lactone precursor hydroxy fatty acid produced in the medium.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, will be examined with the above elected species selected from A, [1,2 or 3] or from B [x or y].

Claim 6 will be examined with the election consistent with the election of the hydroxy fatty acid which is generic to claims 1 and 2.

- C. Claim 7 will be examined with the election consistent with the election of the hydroxy fatty acid derivative, which is generic to claims 1 and 2 with the further election for the derivative selected from:
 - Ci. alkyl ester of hydroxy acid;
 - Cii. glyceride of hydroxy fatty acid.

Claims 8 or 9 will be examined with the above election (i) or (ii).

- D. An election of the medium containing the following is required:
 - Da. Castor oil or castor oil hydrolysate;
 - Db. Ricinoleic acid;
 - Dc. 10 or 11-hydroxypalmitic acid;
 - Dd. lesquerolic acid;
 - De. 10-hydroxysteraric acid;

or

Df. Ethyl 11-hydroxypalimate.

Art Unit: 1651

E. A method for producing a lactone precursor whereby the method is drawn to producing a lactone from the medium comprising culturing Candida sorbophila from a substrate consisting of hydroxy fatty acid; hydroxy fatty acid derivative or hydrolysate of a fatty acid derivative which is produced:

E1. in the medium without any recovery of the lactone precursor;

Or

E2. the lactone precursor is recovered.

Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1651

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention [Inventions as noted above I, II or III] and election of the species [A 1 or A2 or A3] plus [Bx or By] plus [Ci or Cii] plus [Da or Db or Dc or Dd or De or Df] plus [E1 or E2] which is consistent with the elected invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is also requested to clearly indicate those claims which is/ are drawn to the elected invention and species in order to avoid any

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1651

7. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1651</u> October 28, 2005

> Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner Group 1600 Art Unit 1

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651